

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 6743–6746

Indium(III) chloride-catalyzed thiolysis of meso-aziridines

Saravanan Peruncheralathan, Michael Henze and Christoph Schneider*

Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Leipzig, Johannisallee 29, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

Received 7 June 2007; revised 10 July 2007; accepted 16 July 2007 Available online 21 July 2007

Abstract—Indium(III) chloride efficiently catalyzed the thiol addition to *meso*-aziridines at very low substrate-catalyst ratios giving rise to 1,2-amino sulfides in excellent yields and complete diastereocontrol. $© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

Aziridines are reactive small-ring heterocycles, which may be readily ring-opened with nucleophiles to furnish valuable [1](#page-2-0),2-difunctionalized fine chemicals.¹ Depending upon the nitrogen substituent they are divided into activated aziridines carrying an electron-withdrawing N-substituent and nonactivated aziridines with an N-alkyl or N-aryl group. In contrast to the ring-opening of epoxides^{[2](#page-2-0)} comparably few catalytic processes have been developed for the addition of amines, 3 azides, 4 alcohols,^{[5](#page-2-0)} thiols,⁶ and carbon nucleophiles^{[7](#page-2-0)} to aziridines, which may be due to their attenuated reactivity and less convenient accessibility. Among the Lewis acid catalysts employed for the thiolysis of aziridines $ZnCl₂$ ^{6e} and $Bi(OTf)_{3}^{6c}$ are most prominent and deliver the corresponding 1,2-amino sulfides in good yields. In addition, Lewis bases such as $PBu₃$ ^{6d} and $DABCO^{6b}$

Table 1. Optimatization of the thiolysis

have been successfully employed for the thiolysis of aziridines as well as a chiral ammonium salt constituting the first enantioselective catalyst for this reaction.^{6g} In all of these processes, however, 5–10 mol % of catalyst was required for full conversion and typically activated aziridines were employed to facilitate the ring-opening event.

Based on our experience with catalytic, enantioselective ring-opening of $meso$ -epoxides with alcohols, 8 amines, $8a,9$ and thiols^{[10](#page-2-0)} we wish to report here that indium(III) chloride is a highly efficient catalyst for the addition of aliphatic thiols to nonactivated meso-aziridines furnishing 1,2-amino sulfides in excellent yields with only 1 mol % of catalyst necessary for full conversion within typically $1-2$ h at rt.^{[11,12](#page-2-0)}

NHR

^a Isolated yields of chromatographed product.

^b 1.5 equiv of benzyl thiol was used.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +49 341 9736599; e-mail: schneider@chemie.uni-leipzig.de

Keywords: 1,2-Amino sulfide; Aziridine; Catalysis; Indium; Thiol.

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.07.082

The ring-opening of aziridines strongly depends on the nature of the nitrogen substitutent. Therefore, we started our investigation with the reaction of cyclohexeneimines 1a–4a carrying different substituents on the nitrogen atom and benzyl thiol (5a) in the presence of InCl₃ (10 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂ ([Table 1](#page-0-0)). Whereas 1,2-amino sulfides 6a–8a were obtained in moderate to good yields (entries 1–3), ring-opening of aziridine 4a

 $(R = Ph)$ furnished 1,2-amino sulfide 9a in excellent yield within 15 minutes (entry 4). To further optimize this process other indium salts were screened. Thus, $InBr₃$ and $In(OTf)₃$ were equally effective in the thiolysis of aziridine $4a$ (entries 5–6). InCl₃, however, turned out to be the only Lewis acid giving rise to complete conversion at a 1 mol $\%$ level. Thus, the reaction of N-phenylaziridine 4a with 1.5 equiv benzyl thiol afforded 1,2-

^a Isolated yields of chromatographed product.

^b 30% yield in the absence of catalyst.

^c 10 mol % of catalyst was used.

amino sulfide 9a in 88% yield as a single trans-diastereomer in the presence of 1 mol % InCl₃ in CH_2Cl_2 at rt for 30 min (entry 7).

Subsequently, employing 1 mol % of InCl₃ as catalyst N-phenylaziridine 4a was ring-opened with several aromatic as well as aliphatic thiols furnishing 1,2-amino sulfides 9a–d in excellent yields irrespective of the thiol component [\(Table 2,](#page-1-0) entries $1-4$).¹³ In the case of thiophenol, however, a significant background reaction was observed delivering 9b in 30% yield in the absence of the catalyst presumably due to the enhanced acidity of the aromatic thiol serving as its own Brønsted acid catalyst. Even the sterically hindered t -butyl thiol (5e) gave rise to excellent yield with increased catalyst loading of 10 mol % and extended reaction times of 12 h (entry 5). In all cases examined we observed the trans ringopened products exclusively.

In order to investigate the scope of the reaction other aziridines 4b–g were subsequently examined in indiumcatalyzed ring-opening reactions. Thus, the reaction of N-phenylcyclohex-4-eneimine 4b with benzyl and 3 methylbutyl thiol afforded the corresponding 1,2-amino sulfides 10a and 10d, respectively, in excellent yields ([Table 2,](#page-1-0) entries 6 and 7). Similarly, cyclopentyl and cycloheptyl aziridines 4c and 4d, respectively, were smoothly ring-opened with benzyl and 3-methylbutyl thiol and delivered the corresponding 1,2-amino sulfides in good to very good yields within 2 h (entries 8–11). Acyclic meso-aziridines such as 4e and 4f reacted likewise and gave rise to the products in 80–92% yields with only 1 mol % of InCl₃ (entries 12–15). Finally, a monosubstituted aziridine, N-phenyl-2-hexylaziridine (4g), was also investigated and furnished the regioisomers 15a and 16a in good yield and 4:1-isomeric ratio (entry 16). Some uncatalyzed background reaction, however, was observed here under the reaction conditions, which delivered the products in $\leq 10\%$ yield.

In conclusion, we have shown that indium(III) chloride is a highly efficient catalyst for the ring-opening of N phenyl aziridines with aliphatic thiols giving rise to 1,2-amino sulfides in typically excellent yields, complete diastereocontrol and with very low catalyst loading. Work is being continued to develop this process into a catalytic, enantioselective reaction through searching for the optimal chiral indium ligand.

Acknowledgments

The Humboldt foundation is gratefully acknowledged for a postdoctoral fellowship awarded to S. Peruncheralathan. We thank BASF and Wacker for the generous donation of chemicals.

References and notes

1. Reviews: (a) Aziridines and Epoxides in Organic Synthesis; Yudin, A. K., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim (Germany), 2006; (b) Pineschi, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 4979; (c) Hu, X. E. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 2701; (d) McCoull, W.; Davis, F. A. Synthesis 2000, 1347.

- 2. Reviews: (a) Schneider, C. Synthesis 2006, 3919; (b) Pastor, I. M.; Yus, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 1; (c) Jacobsen, E. N.; Wu, M. H. In Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; Vol. 2, p 649.
- 3. (a) Wu, J.; Sun, X.; Li, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 4271; (b) Bisai, A.; Bhanu Prasad, B. A.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 7935; (c) Watson, I. D. G.; Yudin, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5160; (d) Swamy, N. R.; Venkateswarlu, Y. Synth. Commun. 2003, 33, 547; (e) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Rao, K. V.; Raj, K. S.; Prasad, A. R. Synthesis 2002, 1061; (f) Cossy, J.; Bellosta, V.; Alauze, V.; Desmurs, J. R. Synthesis 2002, 2211; (g) Hou, X.-L.; Fan, R.-H.; Dai, L.-X. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5295; (h) Sekar, G.; Singh, V. K. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2537; (i) Meguro, M.; Asao, N.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 7395.
- 4. (a) Fukuta, Y.; Mita, T.; Fukuda, N.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6312; (b) Chandrasekhar, M.; Sekar, G.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 10079; (c) Li, Z.; Fernandez, M.; Jacobsen, E. N. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1611.
- 5. (a) Bhanu Prasad, B. A.; Sanghi, R.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 7355; (b) Hou, X.-L.; Fan, R.-H.; Dai, L.-X. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5295; (c) Bhanu Prasad, B. A.; Sekar, G.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4677.
- 6. (a) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Baishya, G.; Venkatram Reddy, P.; Narsaiah, A. V. Catal. Commun. 2006, 7, 807; (b) Wu, J.; Sun, X.; Li, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 4271; (c) Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Baishya, G.; Reddy, P. V.; Harshavardhan, S. J. Synthesis 2004, 1854; (d) Hou, X.-L.; Fan, R.-H.; Dai, L.-X. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5295; (e) Wu, J.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 1314; (f) Hayashi, M.; Ono, K.; Hoshimi, H.; Oguni, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2699; for the first catalytic, enantioselective process see: (g) Luo, Z.-B.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 443.
- 7. (a) Mita, T.; Fujimori, I.; Wada, R.; Wen, J.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11252; (b) Müller, P.; Nury, P. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 439; (c) Matsubara, S.; Kodama, T.; Utimoto, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6379.
- 8. (a) Schneider, C.; Sreekanth, A. R.; Mai, E. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5809; Schneider, C.; Sreekanth, A. R.; Mai, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5691; (c) Tschöp, A.; Marx, A.; Sreekanth, A. R.; Schneider, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2318.
- 9. (a) Mai, E.; Schneider, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 2729; (b) Mai, E.; Schneider, C. Synlett, in press.
- 10. Nandakumar, M. V.; Tschöp, A.; Krautscheid, H.; Schneider, C. Chem. Commun. 2007, 2756.
- 11. For recent reviews about indium-catalyzed reactions see: (a) Loh, T.-P.; Chua, G.-L. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2739; (b) Podlech, J.; Maier, T. C. Synthesis 2003, 633; (c) Ranu, B. C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 2347; (d) Babu, G.; Perumal, P. T. Aldrichim. Acta 2000, 33, 16.
- 12. For previous use of $In(OTf)_3$ as catalyst for aziridine ringopening with carboxylic acids see: Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Sadashiv, K.; Harikishan, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2099.
- 13. General procedure for ring-opening of meso-aziridines: To a solution of InCl₃ (2 mg, 0.01 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) were added N-phenyl aziridine (1.00 mmol) and thiol (1.50 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature until the starting material was completely consumed (monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed in vacuo

and the crude product was purified through flash chromatography using 50:1 petroleum ether–ethyl acetate as eluent. All products gave satisfactory spectroscopic data in full agreement with the assigned structures. Some representative data are as follows: compound 9d: colorless oil; R_f 0.35 (5% EtOAc–petroleum ether); IR (film): v_{max} 3373, 2930, 1601, 1503, 1446, 1079 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.21 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.72 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.68 (m, 2H), 4.97 (br s, 1H), 3.23 (dt, $J = 4.0$, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dt, $J = 4.0$, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.59 (m, 2H), 2.33–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.17–2.22 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.85 (m, 4H), 1.39–1.50 (m, 4H), 1.25–1.33 (m, 1H), 0.88 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.86 (d, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): 147.7, 129.3, 117.5, 113.5, 55.99, 48.79, 39.03, 32.83, 32.72, 27.98, 27.63, 25.50, 24.08, 22.43, 22.33; ESI-MS: $m/z = 278$ (32) $[M+1]^+$, 185 (100) $[M-NHPh]^{\dagger}$. Compound 11a: mp 34–35 °C (pentane); R_1 0.28 (5% EtOAc–petroleum ether); IR (KBr): v_{max} 3314, 3051, 2951, 1601, 1513, 1494, 1062 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): 7.28–7.34 (m, 5H), 7.16 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.71 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.59 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 3.82 (d, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (d, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 (q, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.60 (br s, 1H), 2.87 (q, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.19–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.05– 2.14 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.88 (m, 3H), 1.44-1.52 (m, 1H); ¹³C

NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): 147.6, 138.6, 129.3, 128.9, 128.6, 127.1, 117.5, 113.5, 61.27, 49.95, 36.35, 32.85, 32.02, 22.82; ESI-MS: $m/z = 284$ (27) $[M+1]^+, 191$ (100) $[M-NHPh]$ ⁺. Compound 12a: colorless oil; R_f 0.31 (5%) EtOAc–petroleum ether); IR (film): v_{max} 3395, 2926, 2853, 1601, 1503, 1452, 1081 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.27–7.34 (m, 5H), 7.16 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.69 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.49 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 3.82 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.73 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.72 (br s, 1H), 3.35–3.38 (m, 1H), 1.99–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.94 (m, $3H$), 1.51–1.71 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 147.4, 138.7, 129.3, 129.0, 128.7, 127.1, 117.4, 113.6, 58.41, 50.44, 35.74, 31.84, 31.80, 29.29, 25.22, 23.79; ESI-MS: $m/z = 312(73)$ [M+1]⁺, 219 (100) [M-NHPh]⁺. Compound 13d: colorless oil; R_f 0.39 (5% EtOAc–petroleum ether); IR (film): v_{max} 3403, 2925, 2869, 1601, 1504, 1451, 1080 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMP (CDCL, 400 MHz): 7.18 (t, $I = 7.2$ Hz, 2H) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.18 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.69 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.77 (br s, 1H), $3.71-3.74$ (m, 1H), 3.05 (dq, $J = 2.8$, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.63 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.76 (m, 1H), 1.47–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.27 (m, 6H), 0.95 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 147.2, 129.5, 117.4, 113.3, 52.27, 43.64, 39.15, 29.64, 27.66, 22.45, 22.42, 16.79, 16.52; ESI-MS: $m/z = 252$ (11) $[M+1]^+$, 159 (100) $[M-NHPh]^{+}$.